



RATING ACTION COMMENTARY

Fitch Revises Kommunalkredit Austria's Outlook to Positive; Affirms IDR at 'BBB-'

Thu 08 Feb, 2024 - 10:56 AM ET

Fitch Ratings - Frankfurt am Main - 08 Feb 2024: Fitch Ratings has revised Kommunalkredit Austria AG's (KA) Outlook to Positive from Stable while affirming its Long-Term Issuer Default Rating (IDR) at 'BBB-'. A full list of rating actions is below.

The Positive Outlook reflects continuing improvements in KA's financial profile. This includes in particular a substantial strengthening of the bank's capital base, which together with improved internal capital generation, increases the bank's capacity to absorb unexpected credit losses from its concentrated loan book.

KEY RATING DRIVERS

Business Model Constrains Ratings: KA's IDRs and Viability Rating (VR) reflect its established niche franchise in infrastructure and energy (I&E) finance, an experienced management team, profitability well above peers' and a strong asset-quality record. The ratings are underpinned by a robust risk-management framework and adequate funding and liquidity.

The bank's VR is constrained by Fitch's assessment of its business profile given its small, albeit growing, equity base relative to concentration risks in its I&E portfolio.

Successful Record; Experienced Management: KA's concentrated but robust business model focuses on its niche franchise in European I&E financing and on municipal finance (mainly in Austria). KA's highly experienced management team has a successful record in these businesses, with above-average returns while maintaining strong asset-quality metrics.

Fitch believes that the expected change in KA's ownership - agreed in February 2023 and likely to be completed in 1H24 - supports KA long-term focus and growth trajectory.

Uneven Risk Profile: Our assessment of the bank's risk profile incorporates its appetite for sub-investment grade-rated, opportunistic infrastructure and project-finance transactions, supplemented by low-risk municipal financing, although the latter is gradually declining as a share of its total loans. KA's underwriting standards and risk-control framework have so far mitigated inherent project risks in infrastructure assets as demonstrated by an impaired loan ratio significantly below that of peers with concentrated loan books.

Strong Asset-Quality Metrics: KA's asset quality benefits from its low-risk public sector portfolio. However, higher-risk exposures in its concentrated and growing I&E segment increase the risk of further loan impairments in the medium term as its loan book seasons. We estimate a moderate deterioration in KA's asset quality at end-2023, which we expect to extend into the next two years. However, we expect asset quality to remain significantly better than peers', mitigated by above-average historical recovery rates and low correlation between its financed asset classes.

Above-Average Profitability: KA's profitability is a rating strength and well above peers'. We estimate strong profitability in 2023 to have been driven by the expansion of its loan book in combination with strong margins, limited credit losses and an efficient cost base. Our assessment also reflects concentration of revenues in the I&E segment. We believe KA can maintain healthy profitability above peers' in 2024 and 2025, but the bank's concentrated credit exposures mean that loan losses on a small number of counterparties would affect earnings materially.

Small but Growing Capital Base: KA maintains capital ratios with adequate buffers over their regulatory minimum capital requirements despite the small size of its equity base. KA has a targeted capital increase of EUR100 million from its new owner once the acquisition is approved by the respective authorities, FMA and ECB, likely in 1H24.

Fitch expects KA's new owners to follow a supportive dividend strategy, which will allow KA to further increase its capital base via strengthened internal capital generation over the medium term. This underpins our positive outlook on the capitalisation score.

Stable Funding; Sound Liquidity: The bank's funding profile is stable, which we expect to continue in the current rate environment. KA's broadening access to retail and wholesale deposits, albeit confidence-sensitive, supports a further decline in KA's loan-to-deposit ratio. KA's funding profile is supplemented by capital-market activities including covered bond and senior unsecured debt issuance. KA's liquidity is sound, prudently managed, and underpinned by the bank's solid liquidity buffers.

RATING SENSITIVITIES

Factors that Could, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Negative Rating

Action/Downgrade

A downgrade of the IDRs and VR is unlikely in the short term as indicated by the Positive Outlook. However, inability to continue growing KA's capital base in line with current management projections could result in an Outlook revision to Stable.

Pressure on the ratings could arise if the bank incurs multiple sizeable defaults in its project finance portfolio, leading to weaker capitalisation or if it relaxes its limits and underwriting standards to enable further opportunistic lending to higher-risk projects, more aggressive project-financing structures or junior financing.

We could also downgrade the ratings if aggressive RWA growth without matching capital increases results in increasing use of KA's large exposure limit. A downgrade could also result from significant deterioration in the bank's ability to syndicate its lending commitments to a sizeable pool of external investors.

Factors that Could, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Positive Rating

Action/Upgrade

KA's Long-Term IDR and VR could be upgraded if the bank strengthens and increases its capital base on a sustained basis, beyond the expected capital injection from its new owners in 2024, leading to a reduction in concentration risks.

An upgrade of KA's Long-Term IDR and VR would be contingent on extending its record of business- model stability and earnings and profitability remaining resilient over the medium term, with an operating profit above 3% of RWAs. An upgrade would also require an impaired loan ratio being sustained at below 3% and evidence that the bank will not relax its current risk appetite.

OTHER DEBT AND ISSUER RATINGS: KEY RATING DRIVERS

KA's 'F3' Short-Term IDR is the only option mapping to a 'BBB-' Long-Term IDR.

KA's long-term senior preferred (SP) debt rating is aligned with the bank's Long-Term IDR. This reflects that KA is not subject to a minimum requirement for own funds and eligible liabilities for the time being, and that the bank will not build and maintain buffers of junior and senior non-preferred debt in excess of 10% of its RWAs on a sustained basis.

KA's 'no support' Government Support Rating (GSR) reflects our view that the EU's resolution framework is likely to require senior creditors participating in losses, if necessary, ahead of a bank receiving sovereign support. The IDRs also do not factor in

any support from KA's owners because Fitch generally views that support from financial investors, while possible, cannot be relied on.

OTHER DEBT AND ISSUER RATINGS: RATING SENSITIVITIES

An upgrade of the Short-Term IDRs would require an upward revision of the funding and liquidity score to 'bbb+', in conjunction with an upgrade of the Long-Term IDR.

The SP notes' rating is sensitive to changes to KA's Long-Term IDR.

An upgrade of the GSR would require a higher propensity of sovereign support. While not impossible, the Bank Recovery and Resolution Directive makes this highly unlikely.

VR ADJUSTMENTS

The operating environment score of 'a+' is below the 'aa' category implied score due to the following adjustment reason: international operations (negative).

The business profile score of 'bbb-' is above the 'bb' category implied score due to the following adjustment reason: strategy and execution (positive)

The asset quality score of 'bbb' is below the 'aa' category implied score due to the following adjustment reason: concentrations (negative)

The earnings and profitability score of 'bbb+' is below the 'a' category implied score due to the following adjustment reason: revenue diversification (negative)

The capitalisation and leverage score of 'bb+' is below the 'a' category implied score due to the following adjustment reasons: size (negative); risk profile and business model (negative)

The funding and liquidity score of 'bbb-' is above the 'bb' implied category score due to the following adjustment reason: historical and future metrics (positive)

REFERENCES FOR SUBSTANTIALLY MATERIAL SOURCE CITED AS KEY DRIVER OF RATING

The principal sources of information used in the analysis are described in the Applicable Criteria.

ESG CONSIDERATIONS

The highest level of ESG credit relevance is a score of '3', unless otherwise disclosed in this section. A score of '3' means ESG issues are credit-neutral or have only a minimal

credit impact on the entity, either due to their nature or the way in which they are being managed by the entity. Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores are not inputs in the rating process; they are an observation on the relevance and materiality of ESG factors in the rating decision. For more information on Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores, visit <https://www.fitchratings.com/topics/esg/products#esg-relevance-scores>.

RATING ACTIONS

ENTITY / DEBT ↕	RATING ↕			PRIOR ↕
Kommunalkredit Austria AG	LT IDR	BBB- Rating Outlook Positive		BBB- Rating Outlook Stable
	Affirmed			
	ST IDR	F3	Affirmed	F3
	Viability	bbb-	Affirmed	bbb-
	Government Support	ns	Affirmed	ns
Senior preferred	LT	BBB-	Affirmed	BBB-

[VIEW ADDITIONAL RATING DETAILS](#)

FITCH RATINGS ANALYSTS

Roger Schneider

Director

Primary Rating Analyst

+49 69 768076 242

roger.schneider@fitchratings.com

Fitch Ratings – a branch of Fitch Ratings Ireland Limited

Neue Mainzer Strasse 46 - 50 Frankfurt am Main D-60311

Patrick Rioual

Senior Director

Secondary Rating Analyst

+33 1 44 29 91 21

patrick.rioual@fitchratings.com

Christian Kuendig

Managing Director

Committee Chairperson

+44 20 3530 1399

christian.kuendig@fitchratings.com

MEDIA CONTACTS

Peter Fitzpatrick

London

+44 20 3530 1103

peter.fitzpatrick@thefitchgroup.com

Additional information is available on www.fitchratings.com

PARTICIPATION STATUS

The rated entity (and/or its agents) or, in the case of structured finance, one or more of the transaction parties participated in the rating process except that the following issuer(s), if any, did not participate in the rating process, or provide additional information, beyond the issuer's available public disclosure.

APPLICABLE CRITERIA

[Bank Rating Criteria \(pub. 01 Sep 2023\) \(including rating assumption sensitivity\)](#)

ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES

[Dodd-Frank Rating Information Disclosure Form](#)

[Solicitation Status](#)

[Endorsement Policy](#)

ENDORSEMENT STATUS

Kommunalkredit Austria AG

EU Issued, UK Endorsed

DISCLAIMER & DISCLOSURES

All Fitch Ratings (Fitch) credit ratings are subject to certain limitations and disclaimers.

Please read these limitations and disclaimers by following this link:

<https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings>. In addition, the following

<https://www.fitchratings.com/rating-definitions-document> details Fitch's rating definitions for each rating scale and rating categories, including definitions relating to default. ESMA and the FCA are required to publish historical default rates in a central repository in accordance with Articles 11(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1060/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 September 2009 and The Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019 respectively.

Published ratings, criteria, and methodologies are available from this site at all times. Fitch's code of conduct, confidentiality, conflicts of interest, affiliate firewall, compliance, and other relevant policies and procedures are also available from the Code of Conduct section of this site. Directors and shareholders' relevant interests are available at <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>. Fitch may have provided another permissible or ancillary service to the rated entity or its related third parties. Details of permissible or ancillary service(s) for which the lead analyst is based in an ESMA- or FCA-registered Fitch Ratings company (or branch of such a company) can be found on the entity summary page for this issuer on the Fitch Ratings website.

In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-

looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed. Fitch Ratings makes routine, commonly-accepted adjustments to reported financial data in accordance with the relevant criteria and/or industry standards to provide financial metric consistency for entities in the same sector or asset class.

The complete span of best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings for all rating categories ranges from 'AAA' to 'D'. Fitch also provides information on best-case rating upgrade scenarios and worst-case rating downgrade scenarios (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in each direction) for international credit ratings, based on historical performance. A simple average across asset classes presents best-case upgrades of 4 notches and worst-case downgrades of 8 notches at the 99th percentile. Sector-specific best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings are listed in more detail at <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/10238496>

The information in this report is provided “as is” without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to

US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001. Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

dv01, a Fitch Solutions company, and an affiliate of Fitch Ratings, may from time to time serve as loan data agent on certain structured finance transactions rated by Fitch Ratings.

Copyright © 2024 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved.

[READ LESS](#)

SOLICITATION STATUS

The ratings above were solicited and assigned or maintained by Fitch at the request of the rated entity/issuer or a related third party. Any exceptions follow below.

ENDORSEMENT POLICY

Fitch's international credit ratings produced outside the EU or the UK, as the case may be, are endorsed for use by regulated entities within the EU or the UK, respectively, for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU CRA Regulation or the UK Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019, as the case may be.

Fitch's approach to endorsement in the EU and the UK can be found on Fitch's [Regulatory Affairs](#) page on Fitch's website. The endorsement status of international credit ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.